

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,490	03/18/2004	Jorge H. Foglietta	176JB.45676	5707	
35979 75	90 05/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRACEWELL & GIULIANI LLP P.O. BOX 61389 HOUSTON, TX 77208-1389			DOERRLER, WILI	DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6	•
~	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/803,490	FOGLIETTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C. Doerrler	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-15 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 16-28 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-18-04,9-28-05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In the first line of part (e) of the claim, "the fractionation tower" should be changed to --a fractionation tower--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 and 16-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al in view of Sweet.

Lee et al discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a hydrocarbon separation system which splits the cooled feed and feeds an absorber column 82 with the

Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

overhead from the absorber being cooled against a fractionation tower overhead to produce a feed for the fractionation tower, substantially as claimed with the exception of feeding the top of the absorber column with the cooled liquid portion of the separated feed and the bottom with the cooled vapor from the feed. Sweet shows this feature to be old in the hydrocarbon processing art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Sweet to modify the hydrocarbon separation process of Lee et al by feeding the top of the absorber with cooled liquid and the bottom of the absorber with cooled vapor to increase the mixing in the absorber (by liquid falling through raising vapor) to improve the quality of the separation products. In regard to claims 4 and 19, the separation efficiencies from processes which are seen to be taught by the art, are seen as inherent to the processes. In regard to claim 5, 74 and 76 are expansion valves at the entrance to the fractionation column. In regard to claim 6, the placement of a feed at a lower point in the tower is seen as obvious design choice for an ordinary practitioner.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-15 are allowed.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's earlier applications are cited as they are seen as the closest prior art. They however, claim patentably distinct subject matter.

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD